

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/630,975	Applicant(s) KARJALAINEN ET AL.	
	Examiner Nguyen T. Vo	Art Unit 2685	

All Participants:

(1) Nguyen T. Vo.

(2) Douglas H. Goldhush.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 21 November 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

3 and 12

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Goldhush agreed to correct minor errors in claims 3 and 12 as indicated in the Examiner's Amendment in order to place the file in condition for allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)